

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,721 03/04/2002		Wolfgang Pusch	A34854-PCT-USA	6109
21003	7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		2687	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A 11 4/)				
Office Action Summany		'	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/009,721	PUSCH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
			Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2687				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>04 Mar</i>	rch 2002.					
·	•		ction is non-final.					
3)	, _							
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/4/2002</u> .	10/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on March 4, 2002 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449 form).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al. (US Patent Number 5,592,181) in view of Hollenberg (US Patent Number 6,091,956).

Regarding **claim 1**, Cai et al. discloses a method for detecting the direction of movement of a mobile data memory (40) along a movement path, comprising detecting data signals of a mobile data memory in at least two different receiving locations (antenna array #1 with receiver 80 and antenna array #2 with receiver 90) along the movement path, comparing changes in the data signals (elements 120 and/or 130) at the receiving locations. See Figures 1-2 and column 2, line 45 to column 3, line 53.

However, Cai et al. fails to specifically disclose the claimed indicator.

In the same field of endeavor, Hollenberg discloses a indicator (6a Figure 2; 6f Figure 4; 6j Figure 6) for the direction of movement of a mobile data memory (Figure 2, 4, 6, 11) so that the user can clearly visualize his location and direction of movement.

Art Unit: 2687

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, provide Cai et al. with an indicator as claimed because it has the advantage of allowing the user to clearly visualize his location and direction of movement as taught by Hollenberg.

Regarding **claims 2-8**, the combination of Cai et al. and Hollenberg disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition the combination further discloses:

wherein the indicator is defined by comparison of changes in received field strengths of data signals at the receiving locations;

wherein weighting factors (Y1, Y2 – Figure 2) are derived by comparison of the received field strengths of the data signals at the receiving locations in such a way that a higher or lower weighting factor is allocated to a data signal with a stronger or weaker received field strength;

wherein the indicator is defined by evaluation of the data signals weighted with the weighting factors;

defining the indicator by comparison of the data signals' type at the receiving locations; decoding the received data signals, and defining their logical content;

wherein the indicator is defined by evaluation of a temporal sequence of the Logical content of the data signals at the receiving locations;

wherein the logical content of the data signals is allocated to mobile data memories.

See Figures 1-2 and column 2, line 45 to column 3, line 53 of Cai et al. and column 4 line 32 to column 10, line 42 of Hollenberg.

Regarding claims 9-14, the combination of Cai et al. and Hollenberg disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition the combination further discloses:

Art Unit: 2687

a device for carrying out the method including an adaptive receiver having at least two antennas for the reception of data signals which are disposed along a movement path of a mobile data memory, and an evaluation unit which is connected to the antennas and which defines an indicator for the direction of movement of a mobile data memory from the data signals;

a transceiver for two-way exchange of data signals with mobile data memories and which contains the adaptive receiver device;

wherein the antennas have antenna radiation diagrams which are aligned and focused along the movement path of mobile data memories;

wherein the radiation diagrams have an overlap in relation to one another which is as limited as possible;

the use of the method in an identification system having a mobile data memory attached to mobile goods, whereby data characterizing the respective goods are stored in the mobile data memory;

the device for use in an identification system having a mobile data memory attached to mobile goods, whereby data characterizing the respective goods are stored in the mobile data memory.

See Figures 1-2 and column 2, line 45 to column 3, line 53 of Cai et al. and column 4 line 32 to column 10, line 42 of Hollengberg.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano whose telephone number is 703-305-0078. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on 5-4/9 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 306-3016. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERF/erf
December 13, 2004

LISEO RAMOS-FÉLICIANO PATENT EXAMINER

12/13/04

Page 5